

AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY OF DERIVATIONS ON C*-ALGEBRAS AND JB*-TRIPLES

ANTONIO M. PERALTA AND BERNARD RUSSO

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of a Jordan triple module and determine the precise conditions under which every derivation from a JB*-triple E into a Banach (Jordan) triple E -module is continuous. In particular, every derivation from a real or complex JB*-triple into its dual space is automatically continuous. Among the consequences, we prove that every triple derivation from a C*-algebra A to a Banach triple A -module is continuous. In particular, every Jordan derivation from A to a Banach A -bimodule is a derivation, a result which complements a classical theorem due to B.E. Johnson and solves a problem which has remained open for over ten years.

1. INTRODUCTION

Results on automatic continuity of linear operators defined on Banach algebras comprise a fruitful area of research intensively developed during the last sixty years. The monographs [48], [14] and [16] review most of the main achievements obtained during the last fifty years. In the words of A.M. Sinclair (see [48, Introduction]), “the continuity of a multiplicative linear functional on a unital Banach algebra is the seed from which these results on the automatic continuity of homomorphisms grew”.

A linear mapping D from a Banach algebra A to a Banach A -bimodule is said to be a *derivation* if $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$, for every a, b in A . The pioneering work of W. G. Bade and P. C. Curtis (see [2]) studies the automatic continuity of a module homomorphism between bi-modules over $C(K)$ -spaces. Some techniques developed in the just quoted paper were exploited by J.R. Ringrose to prove that every (associative) derivation from a C*-algebra A to a Banach A -bimodule M is continuous (compare [43]). The case in which $M = A$ was previously treated by S. Sakai [45] by way of spectral theory in A ($= M$).

A *Jordan derivation* from a Banach algebra A into a Banach A -module is a linear map D satisfying $D(a^2) = aD(a) + D(a)a$, ($a \in A$), or equivalently, $D(ab+ba) = aD(b) + D(b)a + D(a)b + bD(a)$, ($a, b \in A$). Sinclair proved that a bounded Jordan derivation from a semisimple Banach algebra to itself is a derivation, although this result fails for derivations of semisimple Banach

First author partially supported by D.G.I. project no. MTM2008-02186, and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM0199 and FQM3737.

algebras into a Banach bi-module [46, Theorem 3.3]. Nevertheless, a celebrated result of B.E. Johnson states that every bounded Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra A to a Banach A -bimodule is an associative derivation (cf. [31]).

In view of the intense interest in automatic continuity problems in the past half century, it is natural to ask if the assumption of boundedness is needed in Johnson's result. It is therefore somewhat surprising that the following problem has remained open for fifteen years.

Problem 1. *Is every Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra A to a Banach A -bimodule automatically continuous?*

This problem was already posed in [51, Question 14.i]. According to [6, §5], Problem 1 “is an intriguing open question”. In 2004, J. Alaminos, M. Brešar and A.R. Villena gave a positive answer to the above problem for some classes of C^* -algebras including the class of von Neumann algebras and the class of abelian C^* -algebras (cf. [1]). In the setting of general C^* -algebras the question has remained open.

Problem 1 has a natural generalization to the setting of Banach Jordan algebras. In the category of JB^* -algebras, S. Hejazian and A. Niknam established in [25] that every Jordan derivation from a JB^* -algebra J into J or into J^* is automatically continuous. We recall that a linear mapping D from a JB^* -algebra J to a Jordan Banach J -bimodule is said to be a *Jordan derivation* if $D(a \circ b) = D(a) \circ b + a \circ D(b)$, for every a, b in J , where \circ denotes the Jordan product in J and the action of J on the Jordan J -module (defined below).

The above quoted paper actually contains a theorem which provides necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee that a Jordan derivation from a JB^* -algebra J into a Jordan Banach J -module is continuous (cf. [25, Theorem 2.2]). The same authors show the existence of discontinuous Jordan derivations from JB^* -algebras into Jordan Banach modules (compare [25, §3]). When the domain JB^* -algebra is a commutative or a compact C^* -algebra A , the same authors proved that every Jordan derivation from A into a Jordan Banach A -module is continuous (cf. [25, Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.7]). In the setting of general C^* -algebras, however, the following question remains open (also for fifteen years).

Problem 2. *Is every Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra A to a Jordan Banach module automatically continuous?*

Prior to the writing of this paper, it apparently had escaped the attention of functional analysts that combining a theorem of Cuntz ([13], see Lemma 19 below) with the theorems just quoted from [1] and [25] concerning commutative C^* -algebras yields positive answers to both Problems 1 and 2. We therefore can now state the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Every Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra A to a Banach A -module or to a Jordan Banach module is continuous.*

As a consequence of our main results, we are able to treat both Problems 1 and 2 from a new and more general point of view. We introduce the class of Banach (Jordan) triple modules, a class which includes, besides Banach modules over Banach algebras and Banach Jordan modules over Banach Jordan algebras, the dual space of every real or complex JB*-triple. In this setting, a conjugate linear (resp., linear) mapping δ from a complex (resp., real) Jordan triple E to a triple E -module is called a *derivation* if

$$(1) \quad \delta\{a, b, c\} = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\},$$

for every $a, b, c \in E$.

We determine (in Theorem 13) the precise conditions in order that a derivation from a complex JB*-triple, E , into a Banach (Jordan) triple E -module is continuous. We subsequently show that every derivation from a real or complex JB*-triple into its dual space is automatically continuous, a fact which has significance for the forthcoming study by the authors of weak amenability.

From one point of view (another is through infinite dimensional holomorphy) the theory of JB*-triples may be viewed as parallel to the theory of C*-algebras. The analog of the theorem of Sakai mentioned above, namely, the automatic continuity of a derivation from a JB*-triple into itself, that is, a *linear* map satisfying the derivation property (1), was proved by T. J. Barton and Y. Friedman [3] in the complex case and extended to the real case in [27]. Among the consequences of our main results, we obtain a completely different proof for the automatic continuity results obtained in the just quoted papers [3] and [27].

We shall see that there exist examples of triple derivations from a JB*-triple E to a Banach triple E -module which are not continuous (see Remark 16). In our last results we show that these examples cannot appear when the domain is a C*-algebra. More concretely, in Theorem 20 and Corollaries 21, 22, and 23 we prove that every triple (resp., Jordan) derivation from a C*-algebra A to a Banach triple A -module (resp., to a Jordan Banach A -module) is automatically continuous, which constitute the solutions to Problems 1 and 2 and a completely different proof of the automatic continuity result of Ringrose quoted above.

In section 2 of this paper we recall the definition and basic properties of Jordan triples, define Jordan triple modules and submodules, and introduce and study a basic tool in our paper: the *quadratic annihilator* of a submodule. In section 3 we prove the automatic continuity results by relating triple derivations to triple module homomorphisms and using the well known technique of separating spaces. The final section contains an analysis of the automatic continuity of every triple derivation from a C*-algebra A to a Banach triple A -module, which leads to a unified solution to Problems 1 and 2.

Our definition of Jordan triple module is motivated by the theory of modules over a Jordan algebra due to Jacobson [30], together with the definition in the special case of the dual of a Banach Jordan triple, which was suggested by Tom Barton some time ago. Subsequently, we noticed that Jordan triple modules were defined in [37] in a form more suitable to a purely algebraic setting. Our definition is more suitable for the applications to C^* -algebras.

All of our results, excepting Theorem 13, are valid for real or complex JB^* -triples. It should be noted however that the key to the solutions to Problems 1 and 2 is that Theorem 13 is valid for the self-adjoint part of a C^* -algebra, considered as a (reduced) real JB^* -triple (see Proposition 17).

2. JORDAN TRIPLE MODULES

2.1. Jordan triples. A complex (resp., real) *Jordan triple* is a complex (resp., real) vector space E equipped with a triple product

$$E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$$

$$(xyz) \mapsto \{x, y, z\}$$

which is bilinear and symmetric in the outer variables and conjugate linear (resp., linear) in the middle one satisfying the so-called “*Jordan Identity*”:

$$L(a, b)L(x, y) - L(x, y)L(a, b) = L(L(a, b)x, y) - L(x, L(b, a)y),$$

for all a, b, x, y in E , where $L(x, y)z := \{x, y, z\}$. When E is a normed space and the triple product of E is continuous, we say that E is a *normed Jordan triple*. If a normed Jordan triple E is complete with respect to the norm (i.e. if E is a Banach space), then it is called a *Jordan-Banach triple*. Unless otherwise specified, the term “normed Jordan triple” (resp., “Jordan-Banach triple”) will always mean a real or complex normed Jordan triple (resp., a real or complex Jordan-Banach triple).

A summary of the basic facts about the important subclass of JB^* -triples (defined below), some of which are recalled here, can be found in [44] and some of the references therein, such as [34],[21],[22],[49] and [50].

A subspace F of a Jordan triple E is said to be a *subtriple* if $\{F, F, F\} \subseteq F$. We recall that a subspace J of E is said to be a *triple ideal* if $\{E, E, J\} + \{E, J, E\} \subseteq J$. When $\{J, E, J\} \subset J$ we say that J is an *inner ideal* of E .

We recall that a real (resp., complex) *Jordan algebra* is a (not-necessarily associative) algebra over the real (resp., complex) field whose product is abelian and satisfies $(a \circ b) \circ a^2 = a \circ (b \circ a^2)$. A normed Jordan algebra is a Jordan algebra A equipped with a norm, $\|\cdot\|$, satisfying $\|a \circ b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$, $a, b \in A$. A *Jordan Banach algebra* is a normed Jordan algebra whose norm is complete.

Every Jordan algebra is a Jordan triple with respect to

$$\{a, b, c\} := (a \circ b) \circ c + (c \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b.$$

Every real or complex associative Banach algebra (resp., Jordan Banach algebra) is a real Jordan-Banach triple with respect to the product $\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(abc + cba)$ (resp., $\{a, b, c\} = (a \circ b) \circ c + (c \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b$).

An element e in a Jordan triple E is called *tripotent* if $\{e, e, e\} = e$. Each tripotent e in E induces two decomposition of E (called *Peirce decompositions*) in the form:

$$E = E_0(e) \oplus E_1(e) \oplus E_2(e) = E^1(e) \oplus E^{-1}(e) \oplus E^0(e)$$

where $E_k(e) = \{x \in E : L(e, e)x = \frac{k}{2}x\}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ and $E^k(e)$ is the k -eigenspace of the operator $Q(e)x = \{e, x, e\}$ for $k = 1, -1, 0$. The projection onto $E_k(e)$, which is contractive, is denoted by $P_k(e)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$. The following *Peirce rules* are satisfied:

- (a) $E_2(e) = E^1(e) \oplus E^{-1}(e)$ and $E^0(e) = E_1(e) \oplus E_0(e)$,
- (b) $\{E^i(e), E^j(e), E^k(e)\} \subseteq E^{ijk}(e)$ if $ijk \neq 0$,
- (c) $\{E_i(e), E_j(e), E_k(e)\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(e)$, where $i, j, k = 0, 1, 2$ and $E_l(e) = 0$ for $l \neq 0, 1, 2$,
- (d) $\{E_0(e), E_2(e), E\} = \{E_2(e), E_0(e), E\} = 0$.

A JB^* -algebra is a complex Jordan Banach algebra A equipped with an algebra involution $*$ satisfying $\|\{a, a^*, a\}\| = \|a\|^3$, $a \in A$. (Recall that $\{a, a^*, a\} = 2(a \circ a^*) \circ a - a^2 \circ a^*$).

A (*complex*) JB^* -triple is a complex Jordan Banach triple E satisfying the following axioms:

- (JB^*1) For each a in E the map $L(a, a)$ is an hermitian operator on E with non negative spectrum.
- (JB^*2) $\|\{a, a, a\}\| = \|a\|^3$ for all a in A .

Every C^* -algebra (resp., every JB^* -algebra) is a JB^* -triple with respect to the product $\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a)$ (resp., $\{a, b, c\} := (a \circ b^*) \circ c + (c \circ b^*) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b^*$).

We recall that a *real JB^* -triple* is a norm-closed real subtriple of a complex JB^* -triple (compare [29]). The class of real JB^* -triples includes all complex JB^* -triples, all real and complex C^* - and JB^* -algebras and all JB -algebras.

A complex (resp., real) JBW^* -triple is a complex (resp., real) JB^* -triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique isometric predual [4, 39]). It is known that the triple product of a JBW^* -triple is separately weak* continuous (c.f. [4] and [39]). The second dual of a JB^* -triple E is a JBW^* -triple with a product extending the product of E [17, 29].

It is also known that, for each tripotent e in a complex JB^* -triple E , $E_2(e)$ is a JB^* -algebra with product and involution given by $x \circ_e y := \{x, e, y\}$ and $x^{\sharp_e} := \{e, x, e\}$, respectively. In the case of E being a real JB^* -triple $E^1(e)$ is a JB -algebra with respect to the product given in the above lines (JB -algebras are precisely the self adjoint parts of JB^* -algebras [52]).

A tripotent e in a real or complex JB*-triple E is called *minimal* if $E^1(e) = \mathbb{R}e$. In the complex setting this is equivalent to say that $E_2(e) = \mathbb{C}e$, because $E^{-1}(e) = iE^1(e)$, whereas in the real situation the dimensions of $E^1(e)$ and $E^{-1}(e)$ need not be correlated.

When E is a JB*-triple or a real JB*-triple, a subtriple I of E is a triple ideal if and only if $\{E, E, I\} \subseteq I$ or $\{E, I, E\} \subseteq I$ or $\{E, I, I\} \subseteq I$ (compare [7]).

2.2. Jordan triple modules. Let A be an associative algebra. Let us recall that an A -bimodule is a vector space X , equipped with two bilinear products $(a, x) \mapsto ax$ and $(a, x) \mapsto xa$ from $A \times X$ to X satisfying the following axioms:

$$a(bx) = (ab)x, \quad a(xb) = (ax)b, \quad \text{and,} \quad (xa)b = x(ab),$$

for every $a, b \in A$ and $x \in X$.

Let J be a Jordan algebra. A *Jordan J -module* is a vector space X , equipped with two bilinear products $(a, x) \mapsto a \circ x$ and $(x, a) \mapsto x \circ a$ from $J \times X$ to X , satisfying:

$$a \circ x = x \circ a, \quad a^2 \circ (x \circ a) = (a^2 \circ x) \circ a, \quad \text{and,}$$

$$2((x \circ a) \circ b) \circ a + x \circ (a^2 \circ b) = 2(x \circ a) \circ (a \circ b) + (x \circ b) \circ a^2,$$

for every $a, b \in J$ and $x \in X$ (see [30, §II.5, p.82]).

Let E be a complex (resp. real) Jordan triple. A *Jordan triple E -module* (also called *triple E -module*) is a vector space X equipped with three mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \{., ., .\}_1 : X \times E \times E &\rightarrow X, \quad \{., ., .\}_2 : E \times X \times E \rightarrow X \\ \text{and } \{., ., .\}_3 : E \times E \times X &\rightarrow X \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following axioms:

- (JTM1) $\{x, a, b\}_1$ is linear in a and x and conjugate linear in b (resp., trilinear), $\{abx\}_3$ is linear in b and x and conjugate linear in a (resp., trilinear) and $\{a, x, b\}_2$ is conjugate linear in a, b, x (resp., trilinear)
- (JTM2) $\{x, b, a\}_1 = \{a, b, x\}_3$, and $\{a, x, b\}_2 = \{b, x, a\}_2$ for every $a, b \in E$ and $x \in X$.
- (JTM3) Denoting by $\{., ., .\}$ any of the products $\{., ., .\}_1, \{., ., .\}_2$ and $\{., ., .\}_3$, the identity $\{a, b, \{c, d, e\}\} = \{\{a, b, c\}, d, e\} - \{c, \{b, a, d\}, e\} + \{c, d, \{a, b, e\}\}$, holds whenever one of the elements a, b, c, d, e is in X and the rest are in E .

When E is a Jordan Banach triple and X is a triple E -module which is also a Banach space and, for each a, b in E , the mappings $x \mapsto \{a, b, x\}_3$ and $x \mapsto \{a, x, b\}_2$ are continuous, we shall say that X is a triple E -module with *continuous module operations*. When the products $\{., ., .\}_1, \{., ., .\}_2$ and $\{., ., .\}_3$ are (jointly) continuous we shall say that X is a *Banach (Jordan) triple E -module*.

It is obvious that every real or complex Jordan triple E is a *real* triple E -module. Actually, every triple ideal J of E is a (real) triple E -module. It is problematical whether every complex Jordan triple E is a complex triple E -module for a suitable triple product. We shall see later that triple modules have a priori a different behavior than bi-modules over associative algebras and Jordan modules (see Remark 16).

Every real or complex associative algebra A (resp., Jordan algebra J) is a real Jordan triple with respect to $\{a, b, c\} := \frac{1}{2}(abc + cba)$, $a, b, c \in A$ (resp., $\{a, b, c\} = (a \circ b) \circ c + (c \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b$), $a, b, c \in J$). It is not hard to see that every A -bimodule X is a real triple A -module with respect to the products $\{a, b, x\}_3 := \frac{1}{2}(abx + xba)$ and $\{a, x, b\}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(axb + bxa)$, and that every Jordan module X over a Jordan algebra J is a real triple J -module with respect to the products $\{a, b, x\}_3 := (a \circ b) \circ x + (x \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ x) \circ b$ and $\{a, x, b\}_2 = (a \circ x) \circ b + (b \circ x) \circ a - (a \circ b) \circ x$.

Hereafter, the triple products $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, which occur in the definition of Jordan triple module will be denoted simply by $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$ whenever the meaning is clear from the context.

It is a little bit more laborious to check that the dual space, E^* , of a complex (resp., real) Jordan Banach triple E is a complex (resp., real) triple E -module with respect to the products:

$$(2) \quad \{a, b, \varphi\}(x) = \{\varphi, b, a\}(x) := \varphi\{b, a, x\}$$

and

$$(3) \quad \{a, \varphi, b\}(x) := \overline{\varphi\{a, x, b\}}, \forall \varphi \in E^*, a, b, x \in E.$$

Given a triple E -module X over a Jordan triple E , the space $E \oplus X$ can be equipped with a structure of real Jordan triple with respect to the product $\{a_1 + x_1, a_2 + x_2, a_3 + x_3\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} + \{x_1, a_2, a_3\} + \{a_1, x_2, a_3\} + \{a_1, a_2, x_3\}$. Consistent with the terminology in [30, §II.5], $E \oplus X$ will be called the *triple split null extension* of E and X .

A subspace S of a triple E -module X is said to be a *Jordan triple submodule* or a *triple submodule* if and only if $\{E, E, S\} \subseteq S$ and $\{E, S, E\} \subseteq S$. Every triple ideal J of E is a Jordan triple E -submodule of E .

2.3. Quadratic annihilator. Given an element a in a Jordan triple E , we shall denote by $Q(a)$ the conjugate linear operator on E defined by $Q(a)(b) := \{a, b, a\}$. The following formula is always satisfied

$$Q(a)Q(b)Q(a) = Q(Q(a)b), \quad (a, b \in E).$$

and remains true for $Q(\cdot)$ acting on a triple E -module X :

$$(4) \quad \{a, \{b, \{a, x, a\}, b\}, a\} = \{\{a, b, a\}, x, \{a, b, a\}\}, \quad x \in X.$$

For each submodule S of a triple E -module X , we define its *quadratic annihilator*, $\text{Ann}_E(S)$, as the set $\{a \in E : Q(a)(S) = \{a, S, a\} = 0\}$. Since

S is triple submodule of X , it follows by (4) that

$$(5) \quad \{a, E, a\} \subset \text{Ann}_E(S), \quad \forall a \in \text{Ann}_E(S)$$

and

$$(6) \quad \{b, \text{Ann}_E(S), b\} \subseteq \text{Ann}_E(S), \quad \forall b \in E.$$

Consequently, $\text{Ann}_E(S)$ is an inner ideal of E whenever it is a linear subspace of E . Further, $\text{Ann}_E(S)$ is a triple ideal of E whenever E is a JB*-triple and $\text{Ann}_E(S)$ is a linear subspace of E , since as noted earlier, for JB*-triples, (6) implies $\{E, \text{Ann}_E(S), E\} \subset \text{Ann}_E(S)$.

Let E be a Jordan triple. Two elements a and b in E are said to be *orthogonal* (written $a \perp b$) if $L(a, b) = L(b, a) = 0$. A direct application of the Jordan identity yields that, for each c in E ,

$$(7) \quad a \perp \{b, c, b\} \text{ whenever } a \perp b.$$

Given an element a in a Jordan triple E , we denote $a^{[1]} = a$, $a^{[3]} = \{a, a, a\}$ and $a^{[2n+1]} := \{a, a^{[2n-1]}, a\}$ ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$). The Jordan identity implies that $a^{[5]} = \{a, a, a^{[3]}\}$, and by induction, $a^{[2n+1]} = L(a, a)^n(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The element a is called *nilpotent* if $a^{[2n+1]} = 0$ for some n . Jordan triples are power associative, that is, $\{a^{[k]}, a^{[l]}, a^{[m]}\} = a^{[k+l+m]}$.

A Jordan triple E for which the vanishing of $\{a, a, a\}$ implies that a itself vanishes is said to be *anisotropic*. It is easy to check that E is anisotropic if and only if zero is the unique nilpotent element in E .

Let a and b be two elements in a Jordan triple E . If $L(a, b) = 0$, then, for each c in E , the Jordan identity implies that

$$\{L(b, a)c, L(b, a)c, L(b, a)c\} = 0.$$

Therefore, in an anisotropic Jordan triple, $a \perp b$ if and only if $L(a, b) = 0$.

Let a be an element in a real (resp., complex) JB*-triple E . Denoting by E_a the JB*-subtriple generated by the element a , it is known that E_a is JB*-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to $C_0(L) = C_0(L, \mathbb{R})$ (resp., $C_0(L) = C_0(L, \mathbb{C})$) for some locally compact Hausdorff space $L \subseteq (0, \|a\|]$, such that $L \cup \{0\}$ is compact. It is also known that denoting by Ψ the triple isomorphism from E_a onto $C_0(L)$, then $\Psi(a)(t) = t$ ($t \in L$) (compare [34, Lemma 1.14], [35, Proposition 3.5] or [11, Page 14]). The set L is called the *triple spectrum* of a .

It should be noticed here that, in the setting of real or complex JB*-triples orthogonality is a “local concept” (compare Lemma 1 in [10], whose proof remains valid for real JB*-triples). Indeed, two elements a and b in a real JB*-triple E are orthogonal if and only if one of the following equivalent statements holds:

- (a) $\{a, a, b\} = 0$, (b) $E_a \perp E_b$, (c) $\{b, b, a\} = 0$,
- (d) $a \perp b$ in a subtriple of E containing both elements.

Let E be a (real or complex) Jordan Banach triple. We have already mentioned that E^* is a triple module with respect to the products given in (2) and (3). The triple module structure of E^* satisfies the following additional property: given a and b in E with $a \perp b$ (in E), we have $\{a, b, \varphi\} = \{\varphi, b, a\} = 0$ for every $\varphi \in E^*$. That is, $a \perp b$ in the Jordan triple $E \oplus E^*$. Orthogonal elements in E lift to orthogonal elements in the split null extension $E \oplus E^*$.

Let X be a triple module over a Jordan triple E . We shall say that X has the property of *lifting orthogonality* (LOP in short) if

$$\{a, b, x\} = 0, \text{ for every } x \in X, a, b \in E \text{ with } a \perp b.$$

We have just remarked that for every Jordan Banach triple E , E^* is a triple E -module satisfying LOP. When a Jordan triple E is regarded as a real triple E -module with its natural products, then E also has LOP. However, not every triple module has this property. Let A be a C^* -algebra regarded as a complex JB^* -triple with respect to $\{a, b, c\} := \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a)$. As noted earlier, the vector space $X = A$ is a real triple A -module with respect to the products $\{a, b, x\}_3 := \frac{1}{2}(abx + xba)$ and $\{a, x, b\}_2 := \frac{1}{2}(axb + bxa)$. Two elements a and b in a real or complex C^* -algebra A are orthogonal if and only if $ab^* = b^*a = 0$ or equivalently, in the triple sense, $aa^*b + ba^*a = 0$ or $bb^*a + ab^*b = 0$ (compare [10, Lemma 1]). It is not hard to find a C^* -algebra A containing two orthogonal elements a, b with $\{a, b, x\}_3 \neq 0$ for some $x \in A$.

Let J be a norm-closed subspace of a JB^* -triple (resp., a real JB^* -triple) E . Clearly, J is a triple ideal of E if and only if J is a triple E -submodule of E . Let J be a triple ideal of E regarded as a Jordan triple E -submodule. We clearly have

$$\text{Ann}_E(J) := \{a \in E : Q(a)(J) = 0\} \supseteq J^\perp := \{a \in E : a \perp J\}.$$

Suppose now that $a \in \text{Ann}_E(J)$. Replacing J with its weak*-closure in E^{**} , we may assume that E is a JBW^* -triple, J is a weak*-closed triple ideal and $Q(a)(J) = 0$. By [28, Theorem 4.2 (4)], there exists a weak*-closed triple ideal K in E such that $E = J \oplus K$ and $J \perp K$. Writing $a = a_1 + a_2$ with $a_1 \in J$ and $a_2 \in K$, we deduce, by orthogonality, that $a_1^{[3]} = Q(a)(a_1) \in Q(a)(J) = 0$, and hence $a = a_2 \perp J$. We state this as a Lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let E be a JB^* -triple (resp., a real JB^* -triple). For each triple ideal J in E we have $\text{Ann}_E(J) = J^\perp$ is a norm closed triple ideal of E . \square*

Let E be a JB^* -triple (resp., a real JB^* -triple). For each x in E , $E(x)$ will denote the norm-closure of $\{x, E, x\}$ in E . It is known that $E(x)$ coincides with the norm-closed inner ideal of E generated by x and $E_x \subseteq E(x)$ (see [9]). By [9, Proposition 2.1], $E(x)$ is a JB^* -subalgebra of the JBW^* -algebra $E(x)^{**} = \overline{E(x)}^{w*} = E_2^{**}(r(x))$, where $r(x)$ is the (so called) range tripotent of x in E^{**} . It is also known that $x \in E(x)_+$.

For each functional $\varphi \in E^*$, there exists a unique tripotent $s = s(\varphi)$ in E^{**} satisfying that $\varphi = \varphi P_2(s)$ and $\varphi|_{E_2^{**}(s)}$ is a faithful normal positive functional on $E_2^{**}(s)$ (compare [21, Proposition 2] and [39, Lemma 2.9] and [40, Lemma 2.7], respectively). The tripotent $s(\varphi)$ is called the *support tripotent* of φ in E^{**} .

Proposition 5. *Let E be a JB^* -triple (resp., a real JB^* -triple). For each triple submodule $S \subset E^*$,*

- (a) *the quadratic annihilator $\text{Ann}_E(S)$ is a norm closed triple ideal of E ,*
- (b) *$\text{Ann}_E(S) = E \cap \left(\bigcap_{\varphi \in S} E_0^{**}(s(\varphi)) \right)$,*
- (c) *$\{\text{Ann}_E(S), \text{Ann}_E(S), S\} = 0$ in the triple split null extension $E \oplus E^*$.*

Proof. We prove (b) first. For each $a \in \text{Ann}_E(S)$ and each $\varphi \in S$, we have by definition, $\{a, \varphi, a\} = 0$ and hence $\varphi Q(a)(E) = 0$. It follows that $E(a) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in S$, $a \in \text{Ann}_E(S)$. In particular, $\varphi(a) = 0$. Since S is a triple submodule, for every $b \in E$, $\{\varphi, b, a\} \in S$, so $\{\varphi, b, a\}(a) = 0$, that is, $\varphi\{a, a, b\} = 0$.

Fix $\varphi \in S$. We have already seen that $\varphi\{a, a, b\} = 0$ for every $b \in E$. Since E is weak*-dense in E^{**} and $\varphi\{a, a, \cdot\}$ is weak*-continuous on E^{**} , we deduce that $\varphi\{a, a, b\} = 0$, for every $b \in E^{**}$. Thus,

$$(8) \quad \varphi\{a, a, s(\varphi)\} = 0,$$

where $s = s(\varphi) \in E^{**}$ denotes the support tripotent of φ in E^{**} .

Proposition 2 and Lemma 1.5 in [21] together with the Peirce arithmetic imply that the mapping

$$(x, y) \mapsto \varphi\{x, y, s\} = \varphi\{P_2(s)x, P_2(s)y, s\} + \varphi\{P_1(s)x, P_1(s)y, s\}$$

is faithful and positive on $E_2^{**}(s) \oplus E_1^{**}(s)$, that is, $\varphi\{x, x, s\} \geq 0$ for every $x \in E_2^{**}(s) \oplus E_1^{**}(s)$ and $\varphi\{x, x, s\} = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. By (8),

$$0 = \varphi\{a, a, s(\varphi)\} = \varphi\{P_2(s)a + P_1(s)a, P_2(s)a + P_1(s)a, s\},$$

which implies that $P_2(s)a = P_1(s)a = 0$.

We have shown that $\text{Ann}_E(S) \subseteq E \cap E_0^{**}(s(\varphi))$, for every $\varphi \in S$. This assures that

$$(9) \quad \text{Ann}_E(S) \subseteq E \cap \left(\bigcap_{\varphi \in S} E_0^{**}(s(\varphi)) \right).$$

To prove the reverse inclusion, let b belong to the right side of (9), let $\varphi \in S$ and let $c \in E$ have Peirce decomposition $c = c_2 + c_1 + c_0$ with respect to $s(\varphi)$. From Peirce arithmetic, $\{b, \varphi, b\}(c) = \varphi\{b, c, b\} = \varphi\{b, c_0, b\} = 0$, proving equality in (9) and establishing (b).

To prove (c), let $b, c \in \text{Ann}_E(S)$ and $\varphi \in S$. Then for $x = x_2 + x_1 + x_0 \in E$ (with respect to $s(\varphi)$), by Peirce rules and properties of the support

tripotent, $\{b, c, \varphi\}(x) = \varphi\{c, b, x\} = \varphi\{c, b, x_2\} + \varphi\{c, b, x_1\} + \varphi\{c, b, x_0\} = 0$, which proves c).

Because of (5) and (6), to prove (a) it remains to show that $\text{Ann}_E(S)$ is a linear subspace of E . Take $a, b \in \text{Ann}_E(S)$. Since, by Peirce arithmetic, $Q(a, b)(E) \subseteq E \cap E_0^{**}(s(\varphi))$, and $L(a, b)(E) \subseteq E \cap (E_0^{**}(s(\varphi)) \oplus E_1^{**}(s(\varphi)))$, for every $\varphi \in S$, it follows that $\{a, \varphi, b\} = 0$, and $\{a, b, \varphi\} = 0$, for every $\varphi \in S$. Therefore (using only the first of these two facts),

$$Q(a + b)\varphi = Q(a)\varphi + Q(b)\varphi + 2Q(a, b)\varphi = 0,$$

for every $a, b \in \text{Ann}_E(S)$ and $\varphi \in S$, which implies that $\text{Ann}_E(S)$ is a linear subspace of E and completes the proof. \square

Remark 6. Let E be a real or complex JB^* -triple regarded as a real Banach triple E -module. It can be easily seen that norm-closed triple E -submodules and norm-closed triple ideals of E coincide. The conclusions in the above Proposition 5 remain true for any norm-closed triple E -submodule (i.e. norm-closed triple ideal) of E . Indeed, let $S = J$ be a norm-closed triple ideal of E . By Lemma 4, $\text{Ann}_E(J) = J^\perp$, which implies that

$$\{\text{Ann}_E(J), \text{Ann}_E(J), J\} = 0,$$

in the triple split null extension $E \oplus E$.

3. TRIPLE DERIVATIONS AND TRIPLE MODULE HOMOMORPHISMS

3.1. Triple derivations. Separating spaces have been revealed as a useful tool in results of automatic continuity. This tool has been applied by many authors in the study of automatic continuity of binary and ternary homomorphisms, derivations and module homomorphisms (see, for example, [41, 2, 53, 32, 33, 47, 48, 14] and [15], among others). These spaces also play an important role in the subsequent generalisations of Kaplansky's theorem (compare [12, 26] and [18]).

Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear mapping between two normed spaces. Following [42, Page 70], the *separating space*, $\sigma_Y(T)$, of T in Y is defined as the set of all z in Y for which there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ with $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $T(x_n) \rightarrow z$. The *separating space*, $\sigma_X(T)$, of T in X is defined by $\sigma_X(T) := T^{-1}(\sigma_Y(T))$.

A straightforward application of the closed graph theorem shows that a linear mapping T between two Banach spaces X and Y is continuous if and only if $\sigma_Y(T) = \{0\}$ (c.f. [12, Proposition 4.5]). It is known that $\sigma_X(T)$ and $\sigma_Y(T)$ are closed linear subspaces of X and Y , respectively.

A useful property of the separating space $\sigma_Y(T)$ asserts that for every bounded linear operator R from Y to another Banach space Z , the composition RT is continuous if and only if $\sigma_Y(T) \subseteq \ker(R)$. Further, there exists a constant $M > 0$ (which does not depend on R nor Z) such that $\|RT\| \leq M \|R\|$, whenever RT is continuous (compare [48, Lemma 1.3]).

Let E be a complex (resp., real) Jordan triple and let X be a triple E -module. We recall that a conjugate linear (resp., linear) mapping $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *derivation* if

$$\delta\{a, b, c\} = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\}.$$

Note that derivations on complex JB*-triples to themselves are linear mappings but that a derivation from a complex JB*-triple into a complex triple module is conjugate linear by this definition. This is not inconsistent, since as we have noted earlier, it is not clear that a complex JB*-triple E can be made into a complex triple E -module.

Lemma 7. *Let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation from a Jordan Banach triple to a Banach (Jordan) triple E -module. Then $\sigma_X(\delta)$ is a norm-closed triple E -submodule of X and $\sigma_E(\delta)$ is a norm-closed subtriple of E .*

Proof. Given a, b in E and $x \in \sigma_X(\delta)$, there exists a sequence (c_n) in E with $(c_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta(c_n) \rightarrow x$ in norm. The sequence $(\{a, b, c_n\})$ (resp., $(\{a, c_n, b\})$) tends to zero in norm and $\delta\{a, b, c_n\} = \{\delta a, b, c_n\} + \{a, \delta b, c_n\} + \{a, b, \delta(c_n)\} \rightarrow \{a, b, x\}$ (resp., $\delta\{a, c_n, b\} \rightarrow \{a, x, b\}$), which proves the first statement.

If $a, b, c \in \sigma_E(\delta)$, then $\delta a, \delta b, \delta c \in \sigma_X(\delta)$ and by the first statement $\delta\{a, b, c\} \in \sigma_X(\delta)$, as required. \square

Let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation from a Jordan Banach triple E to a Banach triple E -module. Since $\sigma_X(\delta)$ is a norm closed triple E -submodule of X , $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a norm closed inner ideal of E whenever it is a linear subspace of E (actually, in such a case, it is a triple ideal when E is a real or complex JB*-triple).

Let us take a in E . Since δ is in particular a linear mapping, from the useful property mentioned above, $\sigma_X(\delta) \subseteq \ker(Q(a))$ if and only if $Q(a)\delta$ is a continuous linear mapping from E to X , and we deduce that

$$\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) = \{a \in E : Q(a)\delta \text{ is continuous}\}.$$

Moreover, for each a in E , $\delta Q(a) = Q(a)\delta + 2Q(a, \delta a)$, and it follows that $Q(a)\delta$ is continuous if and only if $\delta Q(a)$ is.

3.2. Triple module homomorphisms. Let X and Y be two triple E -modules over a real or complex Jordan triple E . A linear mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *triple E -module homomorphism* if the identities

$$T\{a, b, x\} = \{a, b, T(x)\} \text{ and } T\{a, x, b\} = \{a, T(x), b\},$$

hold for every $a, b \in E$ and $x \in X$.

As above,

$$\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_Y(T)) = \{a \in E : Q(a)T \text{ is continuous}\}$$

and since a triple module E -homomorphism $T : X \rightarrow Y$ commutes with $Q(a)$ (acting on X), we have

$$\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_Y(T)) = \{a \in E : TQ(a) \text{ is continuous}\},$$

where $Q(a)$ acts on Y .

The argument applied in the proof of Lemma 7 is also valid to prove the following result.

Lemma 8. *Let E be a Jordan Banach triple and let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a triple E -module homomorphism between two Banach space which are triple E -modules with continuous module operations. Then $\sigma_Y(T)$ and $\sigma_X(T)$ are norm closed triple E -submodules of Y and X , respectively. \square*

The following lemma provides a key tool needed in our main result.

Lemma 9. *Let E be a Jordan Banach triple, X a Banach triple E -module satisfying LOP, Y a Banach space which is a triple E -module with continuous module operations and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ a triple module homomorphism. Then for every sequence (a_n) of mutually orthogonal non-zero elements in E , we have:*

- (a) $Q(a_n)^2T$ is continuous for all but a finite number of n ;
- (b) $a_n^{[3]}$ belongs to $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_Y(T))$ for all but a finite number of n ;
- (c) the set

$$\left\{ \frac{\|Q(a_n^{[3]})T\|}{\|a_n\|^6} : Q(a_n^{[3]})T \text{ is continuous} \right\}$$

is bounded.

Proof. Suppose that the statement (a) of the lemma is false. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $Q(a_n)^2T$ is an unbounded operator for every natural n . In this case we can find a sequence (x_n) in X satisfying $\|x_n\| \leq 2^{-n}\|a_n\|^{-2}$, and $\|Q(a_n)^2T(x_n)\| > n K_n$, where K_n is the norm of the bounded conjugate linear operator $Q(a_n) : Y \rightarrow Y$, $Q(a_n)y = \{a_n, y, a_n\}$. Since $Q(a_n)^2T$ is discontinuous $K_n = \|Q(a_n)\| \neq 0$, for every n . (Note that $\|Q(a)\| \leq M\|a\|^2$ for some constant M .)

The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(a_k)(x_k)$ defines an element z in the Banach triple module X . For $n \neq k$, the LOP and the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, a_n, \{a_k, a_n, a_k\}\} + \{a_k, \{a_n, x, a_n\}, a_k\} = \\ \{\{x, a_n, a_k\}, a_n, a_k\} + \{a_k, a_n, \{x, a_n, a_k\}\} \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\{a_k, \{a_n, x, a_n\}, a_k\} = 0$. That is, $Q(a_k)Q(a_n) = 0$ for $k \neq n$ and the same argument shows that for any $b \in E$,

$$(10) \quad Q(a_k, b)Q(a_n) = 0 \text{ for } n \neq k.$$

Hence, for each natural n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_n \|T(z)\| &\geq \|Q(a_n)T(z)\| = \|TQ(a_n)(z)\| \\ &= \|TQ(a_n)^2(x_n)\| = \|Q(a_n)^2T(x_n)\| > K_n n, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. This proves (a).

Since $Q(a_n)^2T$ is continuous for all but a finite number of n and the module operations are continuous on Y , it follows that $Q(a_n)Q(a_n)^2T = Q(a_n)^3T = Q(a_n^{[3]})T$ is continuous (and hence, $a_n^{[3]} \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_Y(T))$) for all but a finite number of n . This proves (b).

In order to prove (c) we may assume that $Q(a_n)^2T$ is continuous for every natural n . Arguing by reduction to the absurd, we assume that $\left\{ \frac{\|Q(a_n^{[3]})T\|}{\|a_n\|^6} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is unbounded. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $\|a_n\| = 1$, for every n . By the Cantor diagonal process we may find a doubly indexed subsequence $(a_{p,q})_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (a_n) and a doubly indexed sequence $(x_{p,q})$ in the unit sphere of X such that $\|Q(a_{p,q}^{[3]})T(x_{p,q})\| > 4^{2q} q p$. Let $b_p := \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-q} a_{p,q} \in E$. We observe that $a_{p,q} \perp a_{l,m}$ for every $(p,q) \neq (l,m)$. It is therefore clear that (b_p) is a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements in E . Having in mind that X satisfies LOP, we deduce from (4) and (10) that $Q(b_p)^2Q(a_{p,q})(x) = 4^{-2q} Q(a_{p,q}^{[3]})(x)$, for every x in X . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(b_p)^2TQ(a_{p,q})(x_{p,q})\| &= \|TQ(b_p)^2Q(a_{p,q})(x_{p,q})\| \\ &= 4^{-2q} \|TQ(a_{p,q}^{[3]})(x_{p,q})\| = 4^{-2q} \|Q(a_{p,q}^{[3]})T(x_{p,q})\| > q p, \end{aligned}$$

for every p, q in \mathbb{N} , which shows that $Q(b_p)^2T$ is unbounded for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts the first statement of the lemma and proves (c). \square

Let E be a complex (resp., real) Jordan triple and let X be a triple E -module. It is not hard to see that for every derivation $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\delta : E &\rightarrow E \oplus X \\ a &\mapsto a + \delta(a) \end{aligned}$$

is a real linear Jordan triple monomorphism between from the real Jordan triple E to the triple split null extension $E \oplus X$. (We observe that, in this case, E is regarded as a real Jordan triple whenever it is a complex Jordan triple).

When X is a Jordan Banach triple E -module over a real or complex JB*-triple E , we define a norm, $\|\cdot\|_0$, on the triple split null extension of E and X by the assignment $a + x \mapsto \|a + x\|_0 := \|a\| + \|x\|$. The real Jordan triple $E \oplus X$ becomes a real Jordan Banach triple. It is not hard to see that, in this setting, a derivation δ is continuous if and only if the triple monomorphism Θ_δ is. Moreover, the separating spaces $\sigma_X(\delta)$ and $\sigma_{E \oplus X}(\Theta_\delta)$ are linked by the the following identity

$$(11) \quad \sigma_{E \oplus X}(\Theta_\delta) = \{0\} \times \sigma_X(\delta).$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_{E \oplus X}(\Theta_\delta)) = \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)).$$

The linear space $\Theta_\delta(E)$ is a subtriple of $E \oplus X$ and is made into a triple E -module for the products

$$\{a, b, \Theta_\delta(c)\} = \Theta_\delta(\{a, b, c\}) = \{\Theta_\delta(a), \Theta_\delta(b), \Theta_\delta(c)\} = \{a, \Theta_\delta(b), c\},$$

($a, b, c \in E$). These products can be extended to the $\|\cdot\|_0$ -closure, $\overline{\Theta_\delta(E)}$, of $\Theta_\delta(E)$. Under this point of view, the mapping $\Theta_\delta : E \rightarrow \overline{\Theta_\delta(E)}$ is a triple E -module homomorphism. The following result derives from the previous Lemma 9, since $Q(a)\Theta_\delta = Q(a) \oplus Q(a)\delta$.

Corollary 10. *Let E be a complex (resp., real) JB^* -triple, X a Banach space which is a triple E -module with continuous module operations and let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation. Then for every sequence (a_n) of mutually orthogonal non-zero elements in E , $Q(a_n)^2\delta$ is continuous for all but a finite number of n . It follows that $a_n^{[3]}$ belongs to $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ for all but a finite number of n . Moreover, the set*

$$\left\{ \frac{\|Q(a_n^{[3]})\delta\|}{\|a_n\|^6} : Q(a_n^{[3]})\delta \text{ is continuous} \right\}$$

is bounded. □

Let E be a real or complex JB^* -triple. We shall say that E is *algebraic* if all singly-generated subtriples of E are finite-dimensional. If in fact there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all single-generated subtriples of X have dimension $\leq m$, then E is said to be of *bounded degree*, and the minimum such an m will be called the *degree* of E .

Corollary 11. *Let E be a complex (resp., real) JB^* -triple, X a Banach triple E -module and let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation. Suppose that $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a norm closed triple ideal of E . Then every element in $E/\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ has finite triple spectrum, in other words, the JB^* -triple $E/\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space or, equivalently, algebraic of bounded degree.*

Proof. Let \bar{a} be an element in the JB^* -triple $F = E/\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$. Let I_a denote the intersection of E_a with $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$. It is clear that I_a is a norm closed triple ideal of E_a . Moreover, the subtriple $F_{\bar{a}}$ is JB^* -triple isomorphic to the quotient of E_a with I_a .

E_a is JB^* -triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to $C_0(L) = C_0(L, \mathbb{C})$ (resp., $C_0(L) = C_0(L, \mathbb{R})$) for some locally compact Hausdorff space $L \subseteq (0, \|a\|]$ (called the triple spectrum of a) such that $L \cup \{0\}$ is compact. We shall identify E_a with $C_0(L)$. It is known (compare [20, Proposition 3.10]) that $E_a/I_a \cong C_0(\Lambda)$ where

$$\Lambda = \{t \in L : b(t) = 0, \text{ for every } b \in I_a\}.$$

We claim that the set Λ is finite. Otherwise, there exists an infinite sequence (t_n) in Λ . We find a sequence (f_n) of mutually orthogonal elements

in $C_0(L)$ such that $f_n(t_n) \neq 0$ and hence $f_n \notin I_a$ and $f_n^{[3]} \notin I_a$. Since orthogonality is a “local” concept, (f_n) is a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements in E and $(f_n^{[3]}) \notin \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$, we have a contradiction to Corollary 10.

It follows that $E_a/I_a \cong F_{\bar{a}}$ is finite dimensional. The final statement follows from [8, §4] and [5, §3, Theorems 3.1 and 3.8]. \square

3.3. Automatic continuity results. Our main result (Theorem 13) will be proved in two steps, the first being the following proposition.

Proposition 12. *Let E be a complex (resp., real) JB^* -triple, X a Banach triple E -module, and let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation. Assume that $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a (norm-closed) linear subspace of E and that in the triple split null extension $E \oplus X$,*

$$(12) \quad \{\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \sigma_X(\delta)\} = 0.$$

Then $\delta|_{\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))} : \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

Proof. By Lemma 7, $\sigma_X(\delta)$ is a triple E -submodule of X . Since we are assuming that $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a norm-closed subspace of E , as we have seen, it is a norm-closed triple ideal of E .

Fix two arbitrary elements a, b in $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$. Since $a+b \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$, for every x in $\sigma_X(\delta)$, we have

$$2\{a, x, b\} = \{a+b, x, a+b\} - \{a, x, a\} - \{b, x, b\} = 0,$$

Hence, in addition to our assumption (12), we also have

$$\{a, x, b\} = 0, \text{ for every } x \in \sigma_X(\delta), \ a, b \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)),$$

that is,

$$(13) \quad \{\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \sigma_X(\delta), \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))\} = 0.$$

Considering $L(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ as linear mappings from X to X defined by $L(a, b)(x) = \{a, b, x\}$ and $Q(a, b)(x) = \{a, x, b\}$ ($x \in X$), we deduce from (12), (13) that $\sigma_X(\delta) \subset \ker L(a, b) \cap \ker Q(a, b)$ and therefore that $L(a, b)\delta, Q(a, b)\delta : E \rightarrow X$ are continuous operators for every $a, b \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$.

When $L(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ as considered as (real) linear operators from E to E , the compositions $\delta L(a, b)$ and $\delta Q(a, b)$ satisfy the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L(a, b)(c) &= \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\} \\ &= L(\delta a, b)(c) + L(a, \delta b)(c) + L(a, b)\delta(c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q(a, b)(c) &= \{\delta(a), c, b\} + \{a, \delta(c), b\} + \{a, c, \delta(b)\} \\ &= Q(\delta a, b)(c) + Q(a, b)\delta(c) + Q(a, \delta b)(c). \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary $c \in E$. Since X is a Banach triple E -module, the continuity of $L(a, b)\delta$ and $Q(a, b)\delta$ as operators from E to X implies that the mappings

$c \mapsto \delta(\{a, b, c\})$ and $c \mapsto \delta(\{a, c, b\})$ are continuous linear operators from E to X .

Let $W : \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) \times \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) \times \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) \rightarrow X$ be the real trilinear mapping defined by $W(a, b, c) := \delta(\{a, b, c\})$. We have already seen that W is separately continuous whenever we fix two of the variables in $(a, b, c) \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) \times \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) \times \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$. By repeated application of the uniform boundedness principle, W is (jointly) continuous. Therefore, there exists a positive constant M such that $\|\delta\{a, b, c\}\| \leq M \|a\| \|b\| \|c\|$, for every $a, b, c \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$.

Finally, since $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a JB^* -subtriple of E , for each a in $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$, there exists b in $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ satisfying that $b^{[3]} = a$. In this case

$$\|\delta(a)\| = \|\delta\{b, b, b\}\| \leq M \|b\|^3 = M \|\{b, b, b\}\| = M \|a\|,$$

which shows that the restriction of δ to $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is continuous. \square

We can state now the main results of the paper.

Theorem 13. *Let E be a complex JB^* -triple, X a Banach triple E -module, and let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation. Then δ is continuous if and only if $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a (norm-closed) linear subspace of E and*

$$\{\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \sigma_X(\delta)\} = 0,$$

in the triple split null extension $E \oplus X$.

Proof. If δ is continuous $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) = \text{Ann}_E(\{0\}) = E$ is a linear subspace of E and $\{E, E, 0\} = 0$.

Conversely, let us suppose that E is a complex JB^* -triple and that $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a norm-closed subspace of E and hence a norm-closed triple ideal of E .

In order to simplify notation, we denote $J = \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$, while the projection of E onto E/J will be denoted by $a \mapsto \pi(a) = \bar{a}$.

By Corollary 11, E/J is algebraic of bounded degree m . Thus, for each element \bar{a} in E/J there exist mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_k$ in E/J and $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k$ with $k \leq m$ such that $\bar{a} = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \bar{e}_j$. We shall show in the next two paragraphs that $e_1, \dots, e_k \in J$, and hence, $a \in J$. This will show that $E = J$ and application of Proposition 12 will complete the proof.

Suppose that \bar{e} is a minimal tripotent in E/J , where $e \in E$ is a representative in the class \bar{e} . In this case $(E/J)_2(\bar{e}) = \mathbb{C}\bar{e}$. Take an arbitrary sequence (a_n) converging to 0 in E . For each natural n , there exists a scalar $\mu_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\pi(Q(e)(a_n)) = Q(\bar{e})(\pi(a_n)) = Q(\bar{e})(\bar{a}_n) = \mu_n \bar{e} = \pi(\mu_n e).$$

The continuity of π and the Peirce 2 projection $P_2(\bar{e})$ assure that $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$. It follows that the sequence $Q(e)(a_n) - \mu_n e$ lies in J and tends to zero in norm.

By Proposition 12, $\delta|_J$ is continuous. Therefore,

$$\delta(Q(e)(a_n)) = \delta(Q(e)(a_n) - \mu_n e) + \mu_n \delta(e) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since (a_n) is an arbitrary norm null sequence in E , the linear mapping $\delta Q(e) : E \rightarrow X$ is continuous, and hence $e \in \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)) = J$, or equivalently, $\bar{e} = 0$. \square

Let E be a real JB*-triple. By [29, Proposition 2.2], there exists a unique complex JB*-triple structure on the complexification $\widehat{E} = E \oplus iE$, and a unique conjugation (i.e., conjugate-linear isometry of period 2) τ on \widehat{E} such that $E = \widehat{E}^\tau := \{x \in \widehat{E} : \tau(x) = x\}$, that is, E is a real form of a complex JB*-triple. Let us consider

$$\tau^\sharp : \widehat{E}^* \rightarrow \widehat{E}^*$$

defined by

$$\tau^\sharp(f)(z) = \overline{f(\tau(z))}.$$

The mapping τ^\sharp is a conjugation on \widehat{E}^* . Furthermore the map

$$(\widehat{E}^*)^{\tau^\sharp} \longrightarrow (\widehat{E}^\tau)^* (= E^*)$$

$$f \mapsto f|_E$$

is an isometric bijection, where $(\widehat{E}^*)^{\tau^\sharp} := \{f \in \widehat{E}^* : \tau^\sharp(f) = f\}$ (compare [29, Page 316]).

Remark 14. Let $\delta : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a triple derivation from a real JB*-triple to its dual. It is not hard (but tedious) to see that, under the identifications given in the above paragraph, the mapping $\widehat{\delta} : \widehat{E} \rightarrow \widehat{E}^*$, $\widehat{\delta}(x + iy) := \delta(x) - i\delta(y)$ is conjugate-linear and a triple derivation from \widehat{E} to \widehat{E}^* , when the latter is seen as a triple E -module.

Actually, although the calculations are tedious, the triple products of every real triple E -module, X , can be appropriately extended to its algebraic complexification $\widehat{X} = X \oplus iX$ to make the latter a complex triple \widehat{E} -module. Further, every (real linear) triple derivation $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ can be extended to a (conjugate linear) triple derivation $\widehat{\delta} : \widehat{E} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$.

Corollary 15. *Let E be a real or complex JB*-triple.*

- (a) *Every derivation $\delta : E \rightarrow E$ is continuous.*
- (b) *Every derivation $\delta : E \rightarrow E^*$ is continuous.*

Proof. The proof in the complex case follows now from Proposition 5 and Theorem 13. (In Theorem 13, we consider E as a real triple and as a real E -module, and δ as a real-linear map.) The statements in the real setting are, by Remark 14, direct consequences of the corresponding results in the complex case. \square

The first statement of the above corollary was already established in [3, Corollary 2.2] and [27, Remark 1]. The proof given here is completely independent. The second statement is new and will be important for a forthcoming study by the authors of weak amenability for JB^* -triples.

Recall that every derivation of a complex C^* -algebra A into a Banach A -bimodule is automatically continuous [43]. The class of Banach triple modules over real or complex JB^* -triples is strictly wider than the class of Banach bimodules over C^* -algebras. Our next remark shows that, in the more general setting of triple derivations from real or complex JB^* -triples to Banach triple modules the continuity is not, in general, automatic.

Remark 16. Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) . Suppose that $\dim(H) \geq 2$. Let J denote the Banach space $\mathbb{C}1 \oplus^{\ell_1} H$. It is known that J is a JB -algebra with respect to the product

$$(\lambda_1 1 + a_1) \circ (\lambda_2 1 + a_2) := \lambda_1 a_2 + \lambda_2 a_1 + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + (a_1, a_2))1.$$

The JB -algebra (J, \circ) is called a *spin factor* (see [24]). It follows that J is a real JB^* -triple via $\{a, b, c\} := (a \circ b) \circ c + (c \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b$, $(a, b, c \in J)$.

It was already noticed by Hejazian and Niknam (see [25, Definition 3.2]) that every Banach space X can be considered as a (degenerate) Jordan J -module with respect to the products

$$(\lambda_1 1 + a_1) \circ x = x \circ (\lambda_1 1 + a_1) = \lambda_1 x, \quad (x \in X, \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}, a_1 \in H).$$

Since every linear mapping $D : J \rightarrow X$ with $D(1) = 0$ is a Jordan derivation (i.e. $D(a \circ b) = D(a) \circ b + a \circ D(b)$, $\forall a, b \in J$), for every infinite dimensional spin factor J , there exists a discontinuous derivation from J to a degenerate Jordan J -module.

Each degenerate Banach Jordan J -module X is a Banach triple J -module with respect to $\{a, b, x\} := (a \circ b) \circ x + (x \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ x) \circ b$ and $\{a, x, b\} = (a \circ x) \circ b + (b \circ x) \circ a - (a \circ b) \circ x$ ($a, b \in J, x \in X$), and each linear mapping $\delta : J \rightarrow X$ with $\delta(1) = 0$ is a triple derivation. Thus, for each infinite dimensional spin factor J there exists a discontinuous triple derivation from J to a Banach triple J -module.

4. DERIVATIONS ON A C^* -ALGEBRA

A celebrated result due to J.R. Ringrose establishes that every (associative) derivation from a C^* -algebra A to a Banach A -bimodule is continuous (cf. [43]). We have already commented that S. Hejazian and A. Niknam gave in [25, §3] an example of a discontinuous Jordan derivation from a JB^* -algebra to a Jordan Banach module. Based on this example, we have already shown the existence of a discontinuous triple derivation from a JB^* -triple to a Banach triple module (see Remark 16). The aim of this section is to show that these two counterexamples cannot be found when the domain is a C^* -algebra, thereby providing positive answers to Problems 1 and 2

(Corollaries 20 and 21). We shall also see that Ringrose's Theorem derives as a consequence of our results (Corollary 22).

We shall actually prove a stronger result: every triple derivation from a C^* -algebra to a Banach triple module is automatically continuous (Theorem 19), which will imply these three corollaries.

We shall need a technical reformulation of Theorem 13 above. Theorem 13 has been established only for complex JB^* -triples. The proof given in Section 3 is not valid for real JB^* -triples. The obstacles appearing in the real setting concern the structure of the Peirce-2 subspace associated with a minimal tripotent. We have already commented that, in case of E being a complex JB^* -triple, the identity $E^{-1}(e) = iE^1(e)$ holds for every tripotent e in E , whereas in the real situation the dimensions of $E^1(e)$ and $E^{-1}(e)$ are not, in general, correlated. For example, every infinite dimensional rank-one real Cartan factor C contains a minimal tripotent e satisfying that $C^1(e) = \mathbb{R}e$ and $\dim(C^{-1}(e)) = +\infty$ (compare [19, Remark 2.6]).

Following [38, 11.9], we shall say that a real JB^* -triple E is *reduced* whenever $E_2(e) = \mathbb{R}e$ (equivalently, $E^{-1}(e) = 0$) for every minimal tripotent $e \in E$. Reduced real Cartan factors were studied and classified in [38, 11.9] and in [36, Table 1]. Reduced real JB^* -triples played an important role in the study of the surjective isometries between real JB^* -triples developed in [19].

Having the above comments in mind, it is not hard to check that, in the particular subclass of reduced real JB^* -triples the proof of Theorem 13 remains valid line by line. We therefore have:

Proposition 17. *Let E be a reduced real JB^* -triple, X a Banach triple E -module, and let $\delta : E \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation. Then δ is continuous if and only if $\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a (norm-closed) linear subspace of E and*

$$\{\text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \text{Ann}_E(\sigma_X(\delta)), \sigma_X(\delta)\} = 0,$$

in the triple split null extension $E \oplus X$. □

Every closed ideal of a reduced real JB^* -triple is a reduced real JB^* -triple. It is also true that the self-adjoint part, A_{sa} , of a C^* -algebra, A , is a reduced real JB^* -triple with respect to the product

$$(14) \quad \{a, b, c\} := \frac{abc + cba}{2} \quad (a, b, c \in A_{sa}),$$

or equivalently,

$$(15) \quad \{a, b, c\} := (a \circ b) \circ c + (c \circ b) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b, \quad (a, b, c \in A_{sa}).$$

Indeed, writing $e = p - q$ for a minimal partial isometry $e \in A_{sa}$ with p and q orthogonal projections, it is easy to check that $e = p$ or $e = -q$ and it follows that if $exe = -x$, then $x = 0$. In particular, for each closed triple ideal J of A_{sa} , the quotient A_{sa}/J is a reduced real JB^* -triple.

Our next result is a consequence of the previous proposition. Note that the fact that A_{sa} is a reduced JB*-triple is only needed in the case that A is an abelian C*-algebra.

Proposition 18. *Let A be an abelian C*-algebra whose self adjoint part is denoted by A_{sa} . Then, every triple derivation from A_{sa} to a real Jordan-Banach triple A_{sa} -module is continuous. In particular, every triple derivation from A into a real Jordan-Banach triple A -module is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\delta : A_{sa} \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation from A_{sa} into a real Jordan triple A_{sa} -module. The statement of the proposition will follow from Proposition 17 as soon as we prove that $\text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta)) = \text{Ann}_{A_{sa}}(\sigma_X(\delta))$ is a (norm-closed) linear subspace of A_{sa} and

$$\{\text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta)), \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta)), \sigma_X(\delta)\} = 0.$$

Let us take $a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$. Having in mind that $a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$ if, and only if, $Q(a)\delta$ (or equivalently, $\delta Q(a)$) is a continuous operator from A_{sa} to X (see the comments after Lemma 7), we observe that $\delta Q(a)$ is a continuous mapping from A_{sa} to X . Obviously, for each b in A_{sa} , the operator $L_b : A_{sa} \rightarrow A_{sa}$, $c \mapsto cb = bc$ is continuous. Since A is abelian we have $L(a^2, b) = Q(a)L_b = L_b Q(a)$. Therefore $\delta L(a^2, b) = \delta Q(a)L_b$ is a continuous operator from A_{sa} to X . The identity

$$\delta L(a^2, b) = L(\delta(a^2), b) + L(a^2, \delta(b)) + L(a^2, b)\delta$$

shows that $L(a^2, b)\delta$ is continuous. It is easy to check, from the definition of $\sigma_X(\delta)$, that $\{a^2, b, x\} = 0$, for every $x \in \sigma_X(\delta)$. It follows that

$$(16) \quad \{a^2, b, x\} = 0, \text{ for every } a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta)), b \in A_{sa} \text{ and } x \in \sigma_X(\delta).$$

It is known that a can be written in the form $a = a_1 - a_2$, where a_1 and a_2 are two orthogonal positive elements in A_{sa} . It is also known that $Q(a)(A_{sa}) \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$. Therefore, $a_1^3 = Q(a)(a_1) \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$ and hence $a_1^6 A_{sa} = Q(a_1^3)(A_{sa}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$. This implies that the ideal of A_{sa} generated by a_1^6 lies in $\text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$, which guarantees that $a_1 \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$. We can similarly show that a_2 belongs to $\text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$. A similar argument shows that $a_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, a_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$. Now, we deduce from (16) that

$$(17) \quad \{a, b, x\} = \{a_1, b, x\} - \{a_2, b, x\} = 0,$$

for every $a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$, $b \in A_{sa}$ and $x \in \sigma_X(\delta)$, or equivalently, $\delta L(a, b)$ and $L(a, b)\delta$ are continuous operators for every $a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$ and $b \in A_{sa}$.

Since A is abelian, $L(a, b) = Q(a, b)$ in A_{sa} , it follows from (17), that $\delta Q(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)\delta$ are continuous operators from A_{sa} to X for every $a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$ and $b \in A_{sa}$. This implies that

$$(18) \quad \{a, x, b\} = 0, \text{ for every } a \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta)), b \in A_{sa} \text{ and } x \in \sigma_X(\delta).$$

Finally, given a, c in $\text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$, we deduce from (18) that

$$Q(a + c)(\sigma_X(\delta)) = Q(a)(\sigma_X(\delta)) + Q(c)(\sigma_X(\delta)) + 2Q(a, c)(\sigma_X(\delta)) = 0,$$

which shows that $a + c \in \text{Ann}(\sigma_X(\delta))$, and hence the latter is a linear subspace of A_{sa} . \square

Given any element x in a C^* -algebra A , we shall denote by $C(x)$ the C^* -subalgebra of A generated by x .

The following theorem, due to J. Cuntz (see [13]) will be required later.

Lemma 19. [13, Theorem 1.3] *Let A be a C^* -algebra and f a linear functional on A . If f is continuous on $C(h)$ for all $h = h^*$ in A , then A is continuous on A . By the uniform boundedness theorem, a linear mapping T from A to a normed space X is continuous if and only if its restriction to $C(h)$ is continuous for all $h = h^*$ in A .* \square

Let $\delta : A \rightarrow X$ be a triple derivation from a C^* -algebra to a Banach triple A -module. For each self-adjoint element h in A , the Banach space X can be regarded as a Jordan Banach $C(h)$ -module by restricting the module operation from A to $C(h)$. Since $\delta|_{C(h)} : C(h) \rightarrow X$ is a triple derivation from an abelian C^* -algebra into a Banach triple $C(h)$ -module, Proposition 18 assures that $\delta|_{C(h)}$ is continuous. Combining this argument with the above Cuntz's theorem we have:

Theorem 20. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then every triple derivation from A (respectively, from A_{sa}) into a complex (respectively, real) Jordan Banach triple A -module is continuous.* \square

Since every Jordan derivation is a triple derivation, and every Jordan module is a Jordan triple module, we have:

Corollary 21 (Solution to Problem 2). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then every Jordan derivation from A into a Jordan-Banach A -module X is continuous.* \square

It is due to B.E. Johnson that every continuous Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra A to a Banach A -bimodule is a derivation (cf. [31, Theorem 6.2]). As we have just seen, the hypothesis of continuity can be omitted in the just quoted theorem. Thus:

Corollary 22 (Solution to Problem 1). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then every Jordan derivation from A into a Banach A -bimodule X is continuous. In particular, every Jordan derivation from A to X is a derivation, by Johnson's theorem.* \square

Let $D : A \rightarrow X$ be an associative (resp., Jordan) derivation from a C^* -algebra to a Banach A -bimodule. The space X , regarded as a real Banach space, is a real Banach triple A_{sa} -module with respect to the product defined in (15), where, in this case, one element in (a, b, c) is taken in X and the other two in A_{sa} . The restriction of D to A_{sa} , $\delta = D|_{A_{sa}} : A_{sa} \rightarrow X$ is a (real linear) triple derivation. Hence, Theorem 20 implies that δ (and hence D) is continuous. Thus:

Corollary 23 (Ringrose). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then every derivation from A into a Banach A -bimodule X is continuous.* \square

In [23], U. Haagerup and N.J. Laustsen presented a new proof of Johnson's Theorem. Applying a result of automatic continuity in [25, Corollary 2.3], the just quoted authors proved that every Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra A to A^* is bounded and hence an inner derivation (cf. [23, Corollary 2.5]).

In [6], M. Brešar studied a more general class of Jordan derivations from a C^* -algebra A to an A -bimodule X . An additive mapping $d : A \rightarrow X$ satisfying $d(a \circ b) = d(a) \circ b + a \circ d(b)$, for every $a, b \in A$, is called an *additive Jordan derivation*. An additive Jordan derivation is said to be *proper* when it is not an associative derivation. Every (linear) Jordan derivation $D : A \rightarrow X$ is an additive Jordan derivation. However, the reciprocal implication is, in general, false. Actually, from [6, Theorem 5.1], for each unital C^* -algebra A , then there exists a proper additive Jordan derivation from A into some unital A -bimodule if, and only if, A contains an ideal of codimension one.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, A.R. Villena, The strong degree of von Neumann algebras and the structure of Lie and Jordan derivations, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **137**, no. 2, 441-463 (2004).
- [2] W.G. Bade, P.C. Curtis, Homomorphisms of commutative Banach algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **82**, 589-608 (1960).
- [3] T.J. Barton, Y. Friedman, Bounded derivations of JB^* -triples, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **41**, 255-268 (1990).
- [4] T.J. Barton, R.M. Timoney, Weak*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications, *Math. Scand.* **59**, 177-191 (1986).
- [5] J. Becerra-Guerrero, G. López, A. M. Peralta and A. Rodríguez-Palacios, Relatively weakly open sets in closed unit balls of Banach spaces, and real JB^* -triples of finite rank, *Math. Ann.* **330**, 45-58 (2004).
- [6] M. Brešar, Jordan derivations revisited, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **139**, no. 3, 411-425 (2005).
- [7] L. J. Bunce, Structure of representations and ideals of homogeneous type in Jordan algebras, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **37**, no. 145, 1-10 (1986).
- [8] L.J. Bunce and C.-H. Chu, Compact operations, multipliers and Radon-Nikodym property in JB^* -triples, *Pacific J. Math.* **153**, 249-265 (1992).
- [9] L.J. Bunce, Ch.-H. Chu, B. Zalar, Structure spaces and decomposition in JB^* -triples. *Math. Scand.* **86**, 17-35 (2000).
- [10] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, J. Martínez, A.M. Peralta, Orthogonality preservers in C^* -algebras, JB^* -algebras and JB^* -triples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348**, 220-233 (2008).
- [11] M. Burgos, A. M. Peralta, M. Ramírez, and M. E. Ruiz Morillas, von Neumann regularity in Jordan-Banach triples, in *Proceedings of Jordan structures in algebra and analysis meeting (Almería, 2009)*, Eds: J. Carmona et al., 65-88, Punto Rojo, Almería, 2010.
- [12] S. B. Cleveland, Homomorphisms of non-commutative $*$ -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **13**, 1097-1109 (1963).
- [13] J. Cuntz, On the continuity of semi-norms on operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **220**, no. 2, 171-183 (1976).

- [14] H.G. Dales, Automatic continuity: a survey, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **10**, no. 2, 129-183 (1978).
- [15] H.G. Dales, On norms on algebras, *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.* **21**, 61-69 (1989).
- [16] H. G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [17] S. Dineen, The second dual of a JB^* -triple system, in: J. Mujica (Ed.), Complex analysis, Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [18] F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, A Kaplansky theorem for JB^* -triples, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [19] F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Martínez Moreno, and A.M. Peralta, Surjective isometries between real JB^* -triples, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **137**, 709-723 (2004).
- [20] Y. Friedman, B. Russo, Function representation of commutative operator triple systems, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **27**, no. 3, 513-524 (1983).
- [21] Y. Friedman, B. Russo, Structure of the predual of a JBW^* -triple. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **356**, 67-89 (1985).
- [22] Y. Friedman and B. Russo, A Gelfand-Naimark theorem for JB^* -triples, *Duke Math. J.* **53**, 139-148 (1986).
- [23] U. Haagerup, N.J. Laustsen, Weak amenability of C^* -algebras and a theorem of Goldstein, in *Banach algebras '97 (Blaubeuren)*, 223-243, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [24] H. Hanche-Olsen, E. Størmer, *Jordan operator algebras, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics 21*, Pitman, London-Boston-Melbourne 1984.
- [25] S. Hejazian, A. Niknam, Modules Annihilators and module derivations of JB^* -algebras, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **27**, 129-140 (1996).
- [26] S. Hejazian, A. Niknam, A Kaplansky theorem for JB^* -algebras, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **28**, no. 3, 977-982 (1998).
- [27] T. Ho, J. Martinez-Moreno, A.M. Peralta, B. Russo, Derivations on real and complex JB^* -triples, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **65**, no. 1, 85-102 (2002).
- [28] G. Horn, Characterization of the predual and ideal structure of a JBW^* -triple, *Math. Scand.* **61**, no. 1, 117-133 (1987).
- [29] J.M. Isidro, W. Kaup, A. Rodríguez, On real forms of JB^* -triples, *Manuscripta Math.* **86**, 311-335 (1995).
- [30] N. Jacobson, *Structure and representation of Jordan algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publications, vol 39, 1968.
- [31] B.E. Johnson, Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **120**, no. 3, 455-473 (1996).
- [32] B.E. Johnson, A.M. Sinclair, Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky, *Amer. J. Math.* **90**, 1067-1073 (1968).
- [33] B.E. Johnson, A.M. Sinclair, Continuity of linear operators commuting with continuous linear operators. II., *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146**, 533-540 (1969).
- [34] W. Kaup, A Riemann Mapping Theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* **183**, 503-529 (1983).
- [35] W. Kaup, On spectral and singular values in JB^* -triples, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **96**, no. 1, 95-103 (1996).
- [36] W. Kaup, On real Cartan factors, *Manuscripta Math.* **92**, 191-222 (1997).
- [37] O. Loos, Representations of Jordan triples, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **185**, 199-211 (1973).
- [38] O. Loos, *Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs*, Math. Lectures, University of California, Irvine 1977.
- [39] J. Martínez, A. M. Peralta, Separate weak*-continuity of the triple product in dual real JB^* -triples, *Math. Z.* **234**, 635-646 (2000).

- [40] A.M. Peralta, L.L. Stachó, Atomic decomposition of real JBW*-triples, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **52**, no. 1, 79-87 (2001).
- [41] C. Rickart, The uniqueness of norm problem in Banach algebras, *Ann. of Math*, **51**, 615-628 (1950).
- [42] C. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [43] J. R. Ringrose, Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **5**, 432-438 (1972).
- [44] B. Russo, *Structure of JB*-triples*, In: Jordan Algebras, Proceedings of the Oberwolfach Conference 1992, Eds: W. Kaup, K. McCrimmon, H. Petersson, 209-280, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [45] S. Sakai, On a conjecture of Kaplansky, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **12**, 31-33 (1960).
- [46] A.M. Sinclair, Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (3) **24**, 209-214 (1970).
- [47] A.M. Sinclair, Homomorphisms from C*-algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **29**, 435-452 (1975).
- [48] A.M. Sinclair, *Automatic continuity of linear operators*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, No. 21, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1976.
- [49] H. Upmeyer, Symmetric Banach manifolds and Jordan C*-algebras, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985
- [50] H. Upmeyer, Jordan algebras in analysis, operator theory, and quantum mechanics, CBMS, Regional conference, No. 67 (1987).
- [51] A. R. Villena, Automatic continuity in associative and nonassociative context, *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* No. **46**, 43-76 (2001).
- [52] J.D. Maitland Wright, Jordan C*-algebras, *Michigan Math. J.* **24**, no. 3, 291-302 (1977).
- [53] B. Yood, Homomorphisms on normed algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **8**, 373-381 (1958).

E-mail address: `aperalta@ugr.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN.

E-mail address: `brusso@uci.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC IRVINE, IRVINE CA, USA